## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

TRAVIS CONISH,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 3:07-CV-2180-O (BH)
	)	ECF
TERRELL STATE HOSPITAL,	)	Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge
Defendant.	)	

# FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and an Order of the Court in implementation thereof, subject cause has previously been referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. The findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are as follows:

### I. BACKGROUND

On December 28, 2007, plaintiff filed the instant civil action and moved to proceed *in forma* pauperis. On February 14, 2008, the Court ordered plaintiff to submit additional financial information within thirty days. It warned plaintiff, furthermore, that "[f]ailure to file a response to this Order within thirty (30) days may result in the dismissal of the complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)." To date, plaintiff has filed nothing else in this case subsequent to the Order for Additional Financial Information.

#### II. INVOLUNTARY DISMISSAL

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits a court to dismiss *sua sponte* an action for failure to prosecute or follow orders of the court. *McCullough v. Lynaugh*, 835 F.2d 1126, 1127 (5th Cir. 1988). This authority flows from a court's inherent power to control its docket, prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases, and avoid congested court calendars. *Link v.* 

Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-31 (1962). Plaintiff has failed to comply with the order that he provide additional financial information. Accordingly, the Court should dismiss this action.

#### III. RECOMMENDATION

For the foregoing reasons, it is recommended that this action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT**PREJUDICE pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for plaintiff's failure to comply with an order of the Court.

SIGNED this 26th day of March, 2008.

Irma Camillo Tanina IRMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

The United States District Clerk shall serve a copy of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation on all parties by mailing a copy to each of them. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1), any party who desires to object to these findings, conclusions, and recommendation must file and serve written objections within ten days after being served with a copy. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings, conclusions, or recommendation to which objections are being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusory or general objections. Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation within ten days after being served with a copy shall bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge that are accepted by the District Court, except upon grounds of plain error. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

IRMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE IUDGE